# Indolizine Derivatives with Biological Activity II: N'-Substituted Hydrazides of 2-Methyl-3-indolizinecarboxylic Acid

### FRANCESCO CLAUDI \*, MARIO GRIFANTINI, UGO GULINI, SANTE MARTELLI, and PAOLO NATALINI \*

Abstract  $\square$  Synthesis and study of the antimonoamine oxidase activity of some N'-substituted hydrazides of 2-methyl-3-indolizinecarboxylic acid are reported. The activities were generally of about the same order as those of the corresponding hydrazides of 2-indolizinecarboxylic acid.

**Keyphrases**  $\Box$  Indolizine derivatives—various N'-substituted hydrazides of 2-methyl-3-indolizinecarboxylic acid synthesized, evaluated for effect on monoamine oxidase activity  $\Box$  Hydrazides, N'-substituted—of 2-methyl-3-indolizinecarboxylic acid synthesized, evaluated for effect on monoamine oxidase activity  $\Box$  Monoamine oxidase activity—effect of various N'-substituted hydrazides of 2-methyl-3-indolizinecarboxylic acid  $\Box$  Structure—activity relationships—various N'-substituted hydrazides of 2-methyl-3-indolizinecarboxylic acid synthesized, evaluated for effect on monoamine oxidase activity

Previously (1), the synthesis and *in vitro* antimonoamine oxidase activity of a series of N'-substituted hydrazides of 2-indolizinecarboxylic acid, analogs of the well-known hydrazides of indolecarboxylic acids (2), were reported. The compounds were prepared for an investigation on analogs of biologically active indole derivatives in which indole was replaced by indolizine, which is related to it in structure and reactivity. To study structure-activity relationships in this new type of monoamine oxidase inhibitor, a series of N'-substituted hydrazides of 2methyl-3-indolizinecarboxylic acid was prepared to determine the importance of the type of acyl radical.

In hydrazides of indolecarboxylic acids having the same alkyl radical on the N'-nitrogen, the activity is greater, at least within the limits in which such comparisons can be made, for derivatives in the 3-position (2).

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

**Chemistry**—The N'-substituted hydrazides of 2-methyl-3-indolizinecarboxylic acid (XIV-XXII) were synthesized according to Scheme I. By reaction with aldehydes and ketones, 2-methyl-3-indolizinecarbohydrazide (I) provided the corresponding hydrazides (II-XIII) which were reduced with sodium borohydride.

It was not possible to reduce the p-methylbenzylidenehydrazide (X) by this method, and it was effected with lithium aluminum hydride. In



spite of numerous attempts under various experimental conditions, it was not possible to reduce the benzylidenehydrazide (VIII), the 3,4methylenedioxybenzylidenehydrazide (IX), and the  $\alpha$ -methylbenzylidenehydrazide (XI) by the methods described. At the end of each reduction, the IR spectrum of the product obtained lacked the band characteristic of the C=N group of the initial hydrazides.

**Synthesis**<sup>1</sup>—N'-Alkylidene- and N'-Arylalkylidenehydrazides (II-XIII)—The appropriate aldehyde or ketone (0.006 mole) was added to a solution of 0.005 mole of I (3) in 65 ml of methanol. The mixture was stirred at room temperature or was heated for various times. With the 3,4-methylenedioxybenzaldehyde and ketones, the reaction was promoted by the addition of 0.005 mole of acetic acid. The products precipitated directly on cooling or by concentration of the solution and were crystallized from anhydrous ethanol (Table I).

N'-Alkyl- and N'-Arylalkyl-2-methyl-3-indolizinecarbohydrazides (XIV-XIX, XXI, and XXII)—Sodium borohydride (0.10-0.20 mole) was added slowly and with stirring to 0.021 mole of the appropriate hydrazide (II-VII, XII, or XIII) dissolved in the minimum amount of solvent. After the addition, stirring was continued for 5 hr. Then the solvent was driven off under vacuum, and the residue was treated with water and extracted with ether. The organic solution was dried over sodium sulfate, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from anhydrous ethanol (Table II).

N'-p-Methylbenzyl-2-methyl-3-indolizinecarbohydrazide (XX) --Compound X (0.0046 mole), in 50 ml of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran, was added to a suspension of 0.021 mole of lithium aluminum hydride in 20 ml of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was boiled under reflux for 5 hr and was stirred overnight at room temperature. Excess lithium aluminum hydride was destroyed with water-saturated ether. The mixture was filtered, the solvent was driven off from the filtrate under reduced pressure, and the residue was recrystallized from anhydrous ethanol, yielding 45%, mp 124-125°.

Antimonoamine Oxidase Activity In Vitro—The compounds (Table II) were tested *in vitro* as inhibitors of pig plasma monoamine oxidase at 37° and pH 6.98 using a spectrophotometer<sup>2</sup> at 253 nm. The enzyme preparation and the test procedure were described previously (1). The inhibitors were added as solutions in dioxane. The  $l_{50}$  values ( $l_{50}$  = molar concentration of the inhibitor producing 50% inhibition) were calculated graphically.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

All compounds tested were 3-7.5 times as active as iproniazid, used as a reference standard, in the inhibition of monoamine oxidase (Table II). In comparison to the corresponding hydrazides derived from 2-indolizinecarboxylic acid (1), I, XIV-XX, and XXII were less active, even if sometimes only slightly; the exception was XXI. The unsubstituted hydrazide (I) showed an activity one-tenth of that of the hydrazide of the preceding series (1). Apart from this last case, however, the position of the acyl radical in the indolizine system apparently did not have an excessively important role in the activity of the compound.

Concerning the type of substituent on the N'-nitrogen of the hydrazide chain, arylalkyl groups generally exercised a more favorable influence than simple alkyl groups. In the series having the alkyl group, the activity decreased with an increase in chain length (XIV-XVI); with the same number of carbons, branching appeared to have a positive effect (XVI and XVII).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Melting points were determined with a Büchi apparatus and are not corrected. IR spectra were taken in mineral oil using a Perkin-Elmer 257 spectrophotometer. <sup>2</sup> Carv 118.

| ~             |   | Reaction<br>Time, hr/<br>Reaction<br>Temperature | Melting<br>Point    |          |                          | Analysis, %   |                          |  |
|---------------|---|--|---------------------|----------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| Com-<br>pound | R   |  |                     | Yield, % | Formula                  | Calc.   | Found                    |  |
| II            | CHCH <sub>3</sub>   | 3/20°  | 177-179°            | 73       | $C_{12}H_{13}N_{3}O$     | C 66.95<br>H 6.09<br>N 19.52  | 67.11<br>6.03<br>19.41   |  |
| III           | CHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>   | 12/20°   | $148 - 150^{\circ}$ | 65       | $C_{13}H_{15}N_{3}O$     | C 68.10<br>H 6.59<br>N 18.33  | $68.21 \\ 6.54 \\ 18.11$ |  |
| IV            | CHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>                   | 1 <b>2/20°</b>                                   | 158–159°            | 76       | $C_{14}H_{17}N_{3}O$     | C 69.11<br>H 7.04<br>N 17.27  | 68.97<br>7.13<br>17.04   |  |
| v             | CCH'CH'   | $3/40^{\circ}$                                   | 101102°             | 65       | $C_{14}H_{17}N_{3}O$     | C 69.11<br>H 7.04<br>N 17.27  | 69.21<br>6.94<br>17.15   |  |
| VI            | $\bigcirc$  | $2/40^{\circ}$                                   | 158 <b>-160°</b>    | 75       | $C_{15}H_{17}N_3O$       | C 70.56<br>H 6.71<br>N 16.46  | 70.42<br>6.88<br>16.31   |  |
| VII           | $\bigcirc$  | $3/40^{\circ}$                                   | 130–131°            | 70       | $C_{16}H_{19}N_{3}O$     | C 71.34<br>H 7.11<br>N 15.60  | $71.62 \\ 7.14 \\ 15.48$ |  |
| VIII          | $C \subset H_{C_0H_{\gamma}}$   | $12/20^{\circ}$                                  | 190–192°            | 86       | $C_{17}H_{15}N_{3}O$     | C 73.63<br>H 5.45<br>N 15.15  | 73.70<br>5.71<br>15.02   |  |
| IX            | CHO_CH_   | 1/20°  | 202-204°            | 88       | $C_{18}H_{15}N_{3}O_{3}$ | C 67.28<br>H 4.71<br>N 13.08  | $67.12 \\ 4.72 \\ 13.20$ |  |
| Х             | $C < H_{C_{s}H_{1}; p} - CH_{3}$  | 1/40°  | 185–187°            | 80       | $C_{18}H_{17}N_{3}O$     | $\begin{array}{ccc} C & 74.20 \\ H & 5.88 \\ N & 14.42 \end{array}$ | $74.02 \\ 5.80 \\ 14.35$ |  |
| XI            |   | 24/50°   | 167–169°            | 74       | $C_{18}H_{17}N_{3}O$     | C 74.20<br>H 5.88<br>N 14.42  | $74.29 \\ 5.91 \\ 14.59$ |  |
| XII           | CH.C.H.   | $2/40^{\circ}$                                   | 130131°             | 81       | $C_{19}H_{19}N_{3}O$     | C 74.73<br>H 6.27<br>N 13.76  | 74.876.1213.54           |  |
| XIII          | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>H</sub> , | 8/40°  | 118–119°            | 82       | $C_{20}H_{21}N_{3}O$     | C 75.21<br>H 6.63<br>N 13.16  | $75.37 \\ 6.71 \\ 13.40$ |  |

| Table II-N'-Alkyl- and N'-Arylalkyl-2-methyl-3-indolizinecarbohydrazides and Their Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitory Activ | vity |
|---|------|
|---|------|

|                 | R  | Reac-<br>tion<br>Solvent <sup>a</sup> | Melting<br>Point  | Yield,<br>% |  | X <sup>b</sup>                            | Molecular<br>Formula                             | Analysis, %  |  |
|-----------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Com-<br>pound   |  |                                       |                   |             | I <sub>so</sub>                              |   |  | Calc.  | Found  |
| Ipro-<br>niazid |  |                                       |                   |             | 9.42 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>                      | 1   |  | _  |  |
| XIV<br>I        | H<br>CH2CH3  | Ā                                     | 127–128°          | 75          | $\frac{1.6\times10^{-4}}{2.15\times10^{-4}}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 5.89\\ 4.38\end{array}$ | $\overline{C_{12}H_{15}N_{3}O}$                  | C 66.34<br>H 6.96  | 66.50<br>7.01  |
| XV              | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>                                  | Α                                     | 1 <b>13–11</b> 4° | 79          | $2.45 	imes 10^{-4}$                         | 3.84                                      | $C_{13}H_{17}N_{3}O$                             | $\begin{array}{c} N & 15.34 \\ C & 67.50 \\ H & 7.41 \\ N & 18.17 \end{array}$ | 67.61<br>7.29  |
| XVI             | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>                  | А                                     | 95–97°            | 60          | $3.14 	imes 10^{-4}$                         | 3   | C14H19N3O  | C 68.54<br>H 7.81<br>N 17.13   | $     \begin{array}{r}       18.25 \\       68.62 \\       7.70 \\       17.19     \end{array} $ |
| XVII            | CH <sup>CH</sup> <sub>3</sub><br>CH₂CH <sub>4</sub>                              | Α                                     | 94–95°            | 72          | $2.08 	imes 10^{-4}$                         | 4.53                                      | C14H19N3O  | C 68.54<br>H 7.81<br>N 17.13   | $68.71 \\ 7.92 \\ 16.97$   |
| XVIII           | $\bigcirc$   | В                                     | 121–123°          | 63          | $2.25 	imes 10^{-4}$                         | 4.19                                      | $C_{15}H_{19}N_{3}O$                             | C 70.00<br>H 7.44<br>N 16.33   | $70.13 \\ 7.35 \\ 16.22$   |
| XIX             | $\bigcirc$   | В                                     | 121–122°          | 71          | $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$                         | 3.77                                      | $C_{16}H_{21}N_{3}O$                             | C 70.82<br>H 7.80<br>N 15.49   | $70.65 \\ 7.64 \\ 15.68$   |
| XX              | CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -p-CH <sub>3</sub>                 |                                       | 124–125°          | 45          | 1.87 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>                      | 5.04                                      | C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O | C 73.69<br>H 6.53<br>N 14.33   | $73.87 \\ 6.49 \\ 14.48$   |
| XXI             | CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub> H,  | Α                                     | 103–104°          | 83          | $1.26 \times 10^{-4}$                        | 7.48                                      | $C_{19}\mathbb{H}_{21}N_3O$                      | C 74.24<br>H 6.89<br>N 13.67   | $74.45 \\ 6.81 \\ 13.72$   |
| XXII            | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>5</sub> | В                                     | 97–98°            | 79          | 2.29 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>                      | 4.11                                      | $C_{20}H_{23}N_{3}O$                             | C 74.74<br>H 7.21<br>N 13.07   | $74.53 \\ 7.27 \\ 13.20$   |

<sup>*a*</sup> Solvent A = methanol; Solvent B = ethanol-methanol (1:1). <sup>*b*</sup> X = ( $I_{50}$  iproniazid/ $I_{50}$  compound).

Among the cycloalkyl substituents, the cyclopentyl group had a more favorable action than the cyclohexyl group.

#### REFERENCES

(1) M. Cardellini, F. Claudi, M. Grifantini, U. Gulini, and S. Martelli, J. Pharm. Sci., 66, 259 (1977).

(2) A. Alemany, M. Bernabe, C. Elorriaga, E. Fernandez Alvarez, M. Lora-Tamayo, and O. Nieto, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1966, 2486.

(3) D. O. Holland and J. H. C. Nayler, J. Chem. Soc., 1955, 1504.

# **COMMUNICATIONS**

## Correction to "Ionization Constants of Cephalosporin Zwitterionic Compounds"

Keyphrases 
Ionization constants—cephalosporin zwitterionic compounds, error in equations corrected 
Cephalosporin zwitterionic compounds-ionization constants, error in equations corrected D Zwitterionic cephalosporin compounds-ionization constants, error in equations corrected

### To the Editor:

In reviewing the derivation given in the paper "Ionization Constants of Cephalosporin Zwitterionic Compounds" (1), it was found that a factor was dropped in Eq. 12. This omission introduced an error into succeeding equations, and it is the purpose of this communication to correct this error.

The experimental results and calculations were made using the equations shown below rather than those in the paper and, therefore, do not need to be changed. In addition, the Results and Discussion section is correct in the paper.

The correct form of Eq. 12 is:

$$[A] = \left\{ \frac{[B] + [H^+] - [OH^-] - [A]}{K_3 K_4 - [H^+]^2 \frac{Y_{NR^-}}{Y_{+HNRH}}} \right\} \left\{ K_3 K_4 + K_3 [H^+] \frac{Y_{NR^-}}{Y_{NRH}} \right\} + [Z]$$

The correct form of Eq. 13 is:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{H}^{+}\}^{2} \frac{Y_{\mathrm{NR}^{+}}}{Y_{\mathrm{+HNRH}}} \{[\mathbf{Z}] - [\mathbf{A}]\} = \\ K_{3}\{\mathbf{H}^{+}\} \frac{Y_{\mathrm{NR}^{+}}}{Y_{\mathrm{NRH}}} \left\{ [\mathbf{B}] + \frac{\{\mathbf{H}^{+}\}}{Y_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}} - \frac{K_{\omega}}{\{\mathbf{H}^{+}\}Y_{\mathrm{OH}^{-}}} - [\mathbf{A}] \right\} + \\ K_{3}K_{4} \left\{ [\mathbf{B}] + \frac{\{\mathbf{H}^{+}\}}{Y_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}} - \frac{K_{\omega}}{\{\mathbf{H}^{+}\}Y_{\mathrm{OH}^{-}}} - 2[\mathbf{A}] + [\mathbf{Z}] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The correct form of Eq. 14 is:

$$\delta = K_3 \epsilon + K_3 K_4 \xi$$

A plot of  $\delta/\xi$  versus  $\epsilon/\xi$  will be linear with a slope of  $K_3$  and  $\delta/\xi$  will equal  $K_3K_4$  at  $\epsilon/\xi = 0$ . Also, the value of  $\epsilon/\xi$  at  $\delta/\xi$ = 0 will equal  $-K_4$ .

Equation 14 (as corrected here) may be solved using simultaneous equations by utilizing titrimetric and spectrophotometric data obtained at each of two pH values. By labeling the two sets of data as 1 and 2,  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  can be

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND ADDRESSES

Received August 4, 1976, from the Istituto di Chimica Farmaceutica e di Chimica Organica, Università di Camerino, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy.

Accepted for publication December 15, 1976.

Supported by a contribution from the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Rome, Italy.

- The authors are indebted to Professor Mario Cardellini for advice.
- \* Laboratorio di Biochimica Applicata, Università di Camerino.
- \* To whom inquiries should be directed.

calculated according to:

$$K_3 = \frac{\frac{\delta_1}{\xi_1} - \frac{\delta_2}{\xi_2}}{\frac{\epsilon_1}{\xi_1} - \frac{\epsilon_2}{\xi_2}}$$
$$K_4 = \frac{\delta_1 - K_3 \epsilon_1}{K_2 \xi_1}$$

which are the corrected forms of Eqs. 15 and 16, respectively.

(1) W. H. Streng, H. E. Huber, J. L. DeYoung, and M. A. Zoglio, J. Pharm. Sci., 65, 1034 (1976).

> William H. Streng Merrell-National Laboratories Division of Richardson-Merrell Inc. Cincinnati, OH 45215

Received May 23, 1977.

Accepted for publication June 21, 1977.

# Nitrofurantoin Solubility in Aqueous Pyridoxine Hydrochloride Solutions

**Keyphrases** D Nitrofurantoin---aqueous solubility, effect of pyridoxine hydrochloride D Solubility-nitrofurantoin in aqueous solutions, effect of pyridoxine hydrochloride D Pyridoxine hydrochloride-effect on aqueous solubility of nitrofurantoin 
Antibacterials, urinary-nitrofurantoin, aqueous solubility, effect of pyridoxine hydrochloride D Vitamins-pyridoxine hydrochloride, effect on aqueous solubility of nitrofurantoin

### To the Editor:

Excess nitrofurantoin (approximately 50 mg) was added to 40 ml of an appropriate test solution (0-20.0% pyridoxine hydrochloride in aqueous pH 3 or 5 buffer<sup>1</sup>) in a 45-ml screw-capped bottle. The tightly closed container was wrapped in aluminum foil to keep out light, placed in a constant-temperature water bath at  $37 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ , and rotated<sup>2</sup> for at least 20 hr. Experiments indicated that equilibrium was established within 10-16 hr. The test so-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Citric acid–dibasic sodium phosphate buffer; ionic strength of 0.7. <sup>2</sup> Menhold rotating apparatus, Lester, Pa.